Reply to Office Action of November 28, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 10-64 are pending. Claims 20, 37, 45 and 61 are independent. Claims 10, 14.

17, 18, 20, 35, 37, 45, 61 and 64 have been amended. Claim 9 has been cancelled without

prejudice.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication that claim 61 would be allowed if

rewritten in independent form. Since claim 61 has been so rewritten, it is believed in condition for

allowance. Moreover, the other independent claims have been amended to add the feature of claim

61 and are thus believed in condition for allowance as well for at least the same reasons as claim 61.

The other claims depend from one or another of the independent claims discussed above and are

believed in condition for allowance for at least the same reason as their base claim.

Claims 9-60 and 64 were rejected variously over Lupien. The cancellation of claim 9

and the amendments discussed above are believed to render this rejection moot. This amendment is

believed clearly to place this case in condition for allowance and its entry is therefore believed

proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance

Dated: May 14, 2007

Respectfully submitted.

Joseph W. Ragusa

gistration No.: 38,586 STEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

41st Floor

New York, New York 10036-2714

(212) 277-6500

Attorney for Applicant

15

DOCSNV-248345v01

Docket No : E3331 0111